

A Generalization of an Alternating Sum Formula for Finite Coxeter Groups

By Jason Fulman

Dartmouth College

Department of Mathematics

6188 Bradley Hall

Hanover, NH 03755, USA

email:jason.e.fulman@dartmouth.edu

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 20F55

Abstract

For W a finite Coxeter group, a formula is found for the size of W equivalence classes of subsets of a base. The proof is a case-by-case analysis using results and tables of Orlik and Solomon. As a corollary we obtain an alternating sum identity which generalizes a well-known identity from the theory of Coxeter groups.

1 Introduction

Let W be a finite Coxeter group and Π a set of fundamental roots or base of W . Note that W acts on subsets of Π . For $J, K \subseteq \Pi$, one writes $J \sim K$ if $J = w(K)$ for some $w \in W$. Letting $\lambda(K)$ denote the equivalence class of K under this action, a natural problem is to compute the size $|\lambda(K)|$ of this equivalence class. It suffices to work with irreducible W . For the classical types $|\lambda(K)|$ can be computed without much difficulty; for the exceptional types $|\lambda(K)|$ has been essentially computed by Carter [3].

Theorem 1 of this note will give a formula for $|\lambda(K)|$ in terms of the index of the parabolic subgroup W_K in its normalizer $N_W(W_K)$ and the characteristic polynomial $\chi(\mathcal{L}^K, t)$ of an upper interval in the intersection lattice L of root hyperplanes corresponding to W . This result is of interest in that it gives an expression for $|\lambda(K)|$ in terms of quantities naturally associated to W and K . Our proof is a case-by-case analysis; a unified proof for all finite Coxeter groups would be desirable.

Theorem 2 applies Theorem 1 to obtain a generalization of the alternating sum formula

$$\sum_{K \subseteq \Pi} (-1)^{|K|} \frac{|W|}{|W_K|} = 1.$$

The above alternating sum formula is discussed in Sections 1.15-1.16 of Humphreys [5]. Topological proofs using the Hopf trace formula are given by Solomon [7] and Steinberg [8]. Chapter 3 of Humphreys gives applications to the invariant theory of Coxeter groups, and Section 6.2 of Carter [2] gives an application to proving the irreducibility of the Steinberg character. We hope that our generalization will have similar interpretations and applications.

2 Notation

We collect some notation which will be indispensable for what follows. Denote the set of root hyperplanes of W by $\mathcal{A}$. Let L be the set of intersections of the hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$, taking $V \in L$. Partially order L by reverse inclusion. Recall that the Moebius function μ is defined by $\mu(X, X) = 1$ and $\sum_{X \leq Z \leq Y} \mu(Z, Y) = 0$ if $X < Y$ and $\mu(X, Y) = 0$ otherwise. The characteristic polynomial of L is defined as

$$\chi(L, x) = \sum_{X \in L} \mu(V, X) x^{\dim(X)}.$$

For $K \subseteq \Pi$, let $\text{Fix}(W_K)$ be the fixed space of the parabolic subgroup W_K in its action on V . Let $L^{\text{Fix}(W_K)}$ denote the poset isomorphic to the segment $\{Y \in L(\mathcal{A}) | Y \geq \text{Fix}(W_K)\}$.

Let n be the rank of W , and let $N_W(W_K)$ be the normalizer of W_K in W . As in the introduction, for $J, K \subseteq \Pi$ write $J \sim K$ if $J = w(K)$ for some $w \in W$ and let $\lambda(K)$ denote the equivalence class of K under this action.

3 Main Results

The following lemma of Bergeron, Bergeron, Howlett, and Taylor translates the equivalence relation $\sim$ into a condition about conjugacy of parabolic subgroups.

Lemma 1 (*Bergeron, Bergeron, Howlett, Taylor [1]*) *If $J, K \subseteq \Pi$, then $J \sim K$ if and only if W_J and W_K are conjugate.*

Now we derive an expression for $|\lambda(K)|$ in terms of quantities naturally associated to W .

Theorem 1 *Let W be a finite Coxeter group of rank n . Then for all $K \subseteq \Pi$,*

$$|\lambda(K)| = (-1)^{n-|K|} \frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} \chi(L^{\text{Fix}(W_K)}, -1).$$

PROOF: As both sides of the conjectured equation are multiplicative with respect to direct product of groups, it suffices to prove the theorem for irreducible W . This will be done case by case.

For W of type A_{n-1} , it is easy to see that $J \sim K$ exactly when W_J and W_K are isomorphic. Given K , define equivalence classes called blocks on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by letting $p \sim q$ if it is possible to transpose p and q using only elements in W_K . Let n_i be the number of blocks of size i . A subset $J \subseteq \Pi$ such that W_J is isomorphic to W_K arises from any of the $(n - \sum i n_i)!$ permutations of the blocks of K . One must then divide by $\prod_i n_i!$ since permuting the blocks of size i amongst themselves leads to the same J . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(K)| &= \frac{(n - \sum_i n_i)!}{\prod_i n_i!} \\ &= \frac{(n - |K|)!}{\prod_i n_i!}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_W(W_K)$ is isomorphic to $\prod_i [(A_i)^{n_i} \times A_{n_i}]$, one has that $\frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} = \frac{1}{\prod_i n_i!}$. Proposition 2.1 of Orlik and Solomon [6] states that $\chi(L^{\text{Fix}(W_K)}, t) = (t-1) \cdots (t - (n - |K| - 1))$. Thus,

$$(-1)^{n-|K|} \frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} \chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, -1) = \frac{(n-|K|)!}{\prod_i n_i!}.$$

Next we prove the result for type B_n (the proof for type C_n is identical). It is readily checked that $K \sim J$ exactly when W_K and W_J are isomorphic. Let W_K be isomorphic to $B_j \times A_{\lambda_1-1} \times A_{\lambda_2-1} \times \cdots$ and let n_i be the number of parts of λ of size i . Arguing as for type A ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(K)| &= \frac{(n-j-\sum i n_i)!}{\prod_i n_i!} \\ &= \frac{(n-|K|)!}{\prod_i n_i!}. \end{aligned}$$

On page 12 of Carter [4], it is proved that $\frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} = \frac{1}{\prod_i 2^{n_i n_i!}}$. Proposition 2.2 of Orlik and Solomon [6] states that $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t) = (t-1)(t-3) \cdots (t-(2(n-|K|)-1))$. Therefore we conclude as desired that

$$(-1)^{n-|K|} \frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} \chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, -1) = \frac{(n-|K|)!}{\prod_i n_i!}.$$

Next we proceed to type D_n . Take $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ a fundamental set of simple roots with $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \alpha_2 = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} = \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n, \alpha_n = \varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n$.

There are two subcases. The first subcase is that $\{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\} \subseteq K$. Let W_K be isomorphic to $D_j \times A_{\lambda_1-1} \times A_{\lambda_2-1} \times \cdots$ (here we insist that $j + \sum_i \lambda_i = n$) and let n_i be the number of parts of λ of size i . Arguing as in type A shows that $|\lambda(K)| = \frac{2(n-|K|)!}{\prod_i n_i!}$. The extra factor of 2 comes from the symmetry of the Dynkin diagram which switches α_{n-1} and α_n but leaves the other roots fixed.

On page 11 of Carter [4], it is proved that $\frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} = \frac{2}{\prod_i 2^{n_i n_i!}}$. Proposition 2.6 of Orlik and Solomon [6] states that $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t) = (t-1)(t-3) \cdots (t-(2(n-|K|)-1))$. Thus

$$(-1)^{n-|K|} \frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} \chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, -1) = \frac{2(n-|K|)!}{\prod_i n_i!}.$$

The second subcase is that $\{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\} \not\subseteq K$. All J such that $J \sim K$ also satisfy $\{\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n\} \not\subseteq J$. Given K , define equivalence classes called blocks on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by letting $p \sim q$ if it is possible to transpose p and q up to sign change, using only elements in W_K . Let n_i be the number of blocks of size i . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(K)| &= |\{J : J \sim K, J \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}\}| + |\{J : J \sim K, J \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_n\}\}| \\ &\quad - |\{J : J \sim K, J \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}\}\}| \\ &= 2 \frac{(n-|K|)!}{\prod_{i \geq 1} n_i!} - \frac{(n-|K|-1)!}{(n_1-1)! \prod_{i \geq 2} n_i!} \\ &= \frac{[2(n-|K|) - n_1](n-|K|-1)!}{\prod_{i \geq 1} n_i!}. \end{aligned}$$

On page 11 of Carter [4], it is proved that $\frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} = \frac{2}{\prod_i 2^{n_i n_i!}}$. Proposition 2.6 of Orlik and Solomon [6] states that $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t) = (t-1)(t-3) \cdots (t-(2(n-|K|)-3))(t-(n-|K|+r-1))$, where r is the number of blocks of size greater than one. Clearly $r = n - |K| - n_1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{n-|K|} \frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} \chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, -1) &= \frac{2}{\prod_i 2^{n_i} n_i!} [(n - |K|) - n_1] (n - |K| - 1)! \\
&= |\lambda(K)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we proceed to the cases $I_2(m)$ and G_2 . On page 175 of Orlik and Solomon [6], $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t)$ is computed in the following relevant cases:

- If $n - |K| = 0$ then $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t) = 1$.
- If $n - |K| = 1$ then $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t) = t - 1$.
- If $n - |K| = 2$ then $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t) = (t - 1)(t - n)$, where $n + 1$ is the number of lines of L contained in $Fix(W_K)$.

As an application of this computation, we prove the theorem for $I_2(m)$ with m even ($I_2(m)$ with m odd and G_2 are easy and similar). One checks that $|\lambda(K)| = 1$ for all K contained in the two element set $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. For $K = \emptyset$, $\frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} = \frac{1}{2m}$ and $Fix(W_K)$ contains m lines of L , so the theorem checks. For K such that $|K| = 1$, $\frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t) = t - 1$, so the theorem checks. Finally, for $K = \Pi$, $\frac{|W_K|}{|N_W(W_K)|}$ and $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t)$ are both equal to 1.

The proof of the theorem for the exceptional cases $F_4, H_3, H_4, E_6, E_7, E_8$ consists of a finite number of straightforward calculations based on tables 3-8 of Orlik and Solomon [6]. We will work out the details for an example to illustrate what is involved.

For the example, take $W = E_7$ and K any subset of Π whose Dynkin diagram has type $(A_1)^2$. As the first column of their Table 7 indicates, all such K are equivalent under $\sim$. A glance at the Dynkin diagram of E_7 thus shows that $|\lambda(K)| = 15$. The third entry in the first row of their Table 7 gives that $\frac{|W|}{|N_W(W_K)|} = 945$. The end of the third row in their Table 7 gives that $\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t) = (t - 1)(t - 5)(t - 7)(t - 9)(t - 11)$. This data shows that the theorem checks.

The only minor complications arise in computing $|\lambda(K)|$ in cases where there are subsets J with Dynkin diagram isomorphic to K , but such that $J \not\sim K$. As the tables of Orlik and Solomon (loc. cit.) indicate, this happens only for $W = E_7$, and the values $|\lambda(K)|$ for these cases appear on the top of page 279 of their article. $\square$

From its statement, it is not evident that Theorem 1 generalizes any known identities about Coxeter groups. Theorem 2 shows that this is indeed the case.

Theorem 2 *Let W be a finite Coxeter group of rank n with base Π . Then*

$$\sum_{K \subseteq \Pi} (-1)^{n-|K|} \frac{|W|}{|W_K|} \frac{\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t)}{\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, -1)} = t^n.$$

PROOF: As is explained on page 274 of Orlik and Solomon [6], elementary properties of Moebius functions yield the identity

$$\sum_{Y \in L} \chi(L^Y, t) = t^n.$$

Observe that W acts on the lattice L . Let $\mathcal{O}(Fix(W_K))$ be the orbit of $Fix(W_K)$ under this action. Lemma 3.4 of Orlik and Solomon (loc. cit.) states that $|\mathcal{O}(Fix(W_K))| = \frac{|W|}{|N_W(W_K)|}$. For

$Y \in L(A)$, Lemma 3.4 of Orlik and Solomon (loc. cit.) shows that $Fix(W_Y) = Y$. Since the parabolic subgroup W_Y is conjugate to a standard parabolic subgroup W_K for some K , it follows that $Y \in \mathcal{O}(Fix(W_K))$ for some K . Then $Y \in \mathcal{O}(Fix(W_J))$ for exactly the $|\lambda(K)|$ many J 's such that $J \sim K$. Therefore, the Orlik-Solomon identity becomes

$$\sum_{K \subseteq \Pi} \frac{|W|}{|N_W(W_K)|} \frac{\chi(L^{Fix(W_K)}, t)}{|\lambda(K)|} = t^n.$$

The result follows from the formula for $|\lambda(K)|$ in Theorem 1. $\square$

Remarks:

- Observe that setting $t = -1$ in Theorem 2 yields the well-known identity

$$\sum_{K \subseteq \Pi} (-1)^{|K|} \frac{|W|}{|W_K|} = 1.$$

As noted in the introduction, this identity has a topological proof and arises in the invariant theory of W . It would be desirable to find analogous interpretations for Theorem 2.

- The identity

$$\sum_{K \subseteq \Pi} (-1)^{|K|} \frac{|W|}{|W_K|} = 1$$

has two known generalizations. To describe the first, let $d_i(W)$ be the degrees of a Coxeter group W . Then from Sections 1.11 and 3.15 of Humphreys [5],

$$\sum_{K \subseteq \Pi} (-1)^{|K|} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{t^{d_i(W)} - 1}{t - 1} / \prod_{i=1}^{|K|} \frac{t^{d_i(W_K)} - 1}{t - 1} = t^n.$$

To describe the second, note that W acts on the left cosets vW_K by left multiplication. Let $f_K(w)$ be the number of left cosets of W_K fixed by w . Let $det(w)$ be the determinant of w in its action on V . Proposition 1.16 of Humphreys [5] states that

$$\sum_{K \subseteq \Pi} (-1)^{|K|} f_K(w) = det(w).$$

What is the relation of Theorem 2 with these generalizations? Can Theorem 2 be further extended to include them?

References

- [1] Bergeron, F., Bergeron, N., Howlett, R.B., and Taylor, D.E., A decomposition of the descent algebra of a finite Coxeter group. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* **1** (1992), 23-44.
- [2] Carter, R., *Finite groups of Lie type*. John Wiley and Sons, 1985.

- [3] Carter, R., Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group. *Composito Math.* **25** (1972), 1-59.
- [4] Carter, R., Centralizers of semisimple elements in the finite classical groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **42** (1981), 1-41.
- [5] Humphreys, J., *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **29**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [6] Orlik, P., and Solomon, L., Coxeter arrangements. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* **40** (1983), 269-291.
- [7] Solomon, L., The orders of the finite Chevalley groups. *J. Algebra* **3** (1966), 376-393.
- [8] Steinberg, R., Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* No. 80 (1968).